

-Save This Page as a PDF-

David Returns to Yerushalayim Second Samuel 19: 8b-43

David returns to Yerushalayim DIG: Why did David first choose the tribe of Judah to help him unify the people? What positive result came from David granting amnesty to the rebel army? How did David deal with Shim'i? Why? How and why did David rebuke Abishai? Why did David appoint Amasa to be his commanding general instead of Joab? What complicated situation did David face when Mephibosheth met with him? What was Mephibosheth's goal in the meeting? How did David reward Barzillai for his kindness? How did Barzillai respond? Why are the men of Yisra'el so upset? What was at stake for them?

REFLECT: How do you typically deal with conflict or potential conflict? Is the LORD's return of David to power based, at least in part, on David's favoring and forgiving others? Or is David now able to grant general amnesty only because YHVH has first forgiven him? Why do you think so? If King Yeshua were to grant amnesty to you for something as particular as what King David offered Shimei, Mephiboseth, Barzillai or Kimham, what would that amnesty cover in your case? This week, where will you be as forgiving as David? As repentant as Shimei? As generous as Barzillai? As sincere and grateful as Mephibosheth?

976 BC

The literary unit here describing the return of **King David to Jerusalem**, parallels **his** flight caused by **Absalom's** rebellion (see **Dp** - **David Flees From Absalom**). Since the earlier account included **David's** instructions to three of **his** supporters (**Ittai**, **Zadok** and **Hushai**), the present narrative contains meetings with three representatives of important constituencies with which **David** must come to terms (**Shim'i**, **Mephibosheth** and **Barzillai**). The resulting chiastic outline focuses on **Mephibosheth**. These three are bracketed by a prologue and an epilogue, each of which deals with questions of who – **Yisra'el** or **Y'hudah** should escort **the king** on **his** triumphant return to **the City**.⁴⁵¹

The civil war was more than a son challenging a father. **Absalom**, attractive figure that **he** was, became a magnet who evoked and mobilized a variety of forces and parties hostile to



or weary of **David**. The elimination of **Absalom** and the end of the fighting did not cause the hostility to melt easily away. **David** was left with the problem of how to reclaim the loyalty of those who had opposed **him**. This section deals with the aftermath of that civil war.⁴⁵²

A. Prologue - Yisra'el or Y'hudah: These verses report on the confusion and conflict after the civil war. **Meanwhile, the Israelites had fled to their homes. Throughout** all **the tribes of Yisra'el, all the people were arguing among themselves,** saying: **King David delivered us from the hand of our enemies; he is the one who rescued us from the hand of the Philistines. But now he has fled the country to escape Absalom; and Absalom, whom we anointed to rule over us, has died in battle. So now why do** you fellow **Israelites say nothing about bringing the king back** to **his** throne **(Second Samuel 19:8b-10)?** The leaders from all twelve **tribes** should have united in sending a formal invitation to **David** to return and reign, but party squabbles and tribal friction kept this from happening.

Absalom's revolt had erupted in the heart of Judah – in Hebron. Therefore, David's first act was to consolidate his power and send a message to his old Hebron-based colleagues, the elders of Judah, the ones who had supported Absalom's coup. Moreover, Ahithophel, David's turncoat advisor, was from Y'hudah, as was Amasa, the military commander of Absalom's revolt. Absalom had apparently won significant support within Judah and had every reason to believe that if David won the civil war he would take out his revenge on them. So no word had reached David from Judah; many of them likely feared David would return with a sword rather than a scepter. So David's appeal and reassurance was exactly what was needed.⁴⁵³ King David sent his message to Zadok and Abiathar, the priests, "Ask the elders of Judah, 'Why should you be the last to bring the king back to this palace, since what is being said throughout Yisra'el has reached the ear of the king at his quarters?" David could have easily returned to Jerusalem with his military strength, but he wanted to be asked back by his own tribe instead. You are my relatives, my own flesh and blood. So why would you be the last to bring back the king?

David not only scolded in order to win **their** loyalty, **he** also negotiated. Amazingly, **David** promoted **Amasa, David's** nephew and **Joab's** cousin, to be the new general of **his** army. **May God deal with me, be it ever so severely, if you are not the commander of my army for life in place of Joab** (who had a nasty habit of killing anyone who got in **his** way). **His** ego seemed out of control. **Joab** had disobeyed **the king's** orders and killed **his son**. If **he** did this to **the king's son**, what might **he** do to **the king himself**? This was a masterstroke of diplomacy. Not only did **David** punish **Joab** for killing **his son**, but **he** also united the army, won the support of **the elders of Judah** and offered an olive branch to



those who supported Absalom. Amasa won over the hearts of the men of Y'hudah so that they were all of one mind. Then the elders of Y'hudah sent word to the king, "Return, you and all your men." Happy to comply with their request, the king returned from Mahanaim and went as far as the east bank of the Jordan River (2 Samuel 19:11-15a).

B. Shim'i: As the other **tribes** debated and delayed, **the men of Y'hudah had come to Gilgal** on the eastern bank of **the Jordan River to go out and meet the king and bring him across the Jordan. Gilgal** meaning *circle*, was less than twenty miles from **Tziyon** and a key city in Jewish history. It was where Sha'ul was consecrated as king **(First Samuel 11:14-15)**, where **Joshua** led **the Israelites** into **the Land (Joshua 4:19-20)** It was where all the males of the new generation were circumcised **(Joshua 5:2)**, recommitting their dedication to the covenant (see the commentary on **Genesis El - God's Covenant of Circumcision with Abraham**). And it was where **the Israelites celebrated the Passover (Joshua 5:10).** It was only the third Passover the nation had observed. The first was observed in Egypt the night before their deliverance from bondage (see the commentary on **Exodus Bv - The Egyptian Passover**). The second was observed at Mount Sinai just before **the people** broke camp and moved on toward Canaan **(Num 9:1-5)**.⁴⁵⁴ The text doesn't state it, but perhaps **David** also renewed the covenant at **Gilgal**; for throughout the rest of the book, we see **David** very much in charge.

Shim'i son of Gera, the Benjamite from Bahurim, hurried down with the men of Judah to meet the King because he feared David's vengeance (see <u>Ds</u> - Shim'i Curses David). With him were a thousand Benjamites, along with Ziba, the steward of Sha'ul's household, and his fifteen sons and twenty servants. He also had reason to be concerned about his future (see <u>Dr</u> - David and Ziba). They rushed into the Jordan, where the king was on the eastern bank. They arrived at the ford when David's servants were crossing over to take the king's household belongings over and to whatever he wished. When Shim'i crossed the Jordan, he fell prostrate before the king and said to him, "May my lord not hold me guilty. Do not remember how your servant did wrong on the day my lord the king left Yerushalayim. May the king put it out of his mind. For I, your servant, know that I have sinned. He did not try to make excuses for himself. But today, I have come here as the first from the tribes of Joseph to come down and meet my lord the king (Second Samuel 19:15b-20).

Then Abishai said: Shouldn't Shim'i be put to death for this? He cursed the



LORD's anointed. David replied: What does this have to do with you, you sons of Zeruiah? Shim'i deserved to die and Abishai was only too willing to oblige. What right do you have to interfere? He was trying to get David to do something that was against his better judgment. Should anyone be put to death in Yisra'el today? No, David would not use this occasion to get back at anyone. Don't I know that today I am king over Isra'el? The joy of that day should not be marred by vengeance and bloodshed. So the king said to Shim'i, "You shall not die," and the king promised him on oath (Second Sam 19:21-23). By pardoning Shim'i, David was offering a general amnesty to all who had opposed him.

C. Mephiboseth: Another person who was anxious to set the record straight was Mephiboseth. David had made him permanent member of his household (see Da - David and Mephiboseth) to honor the commitment that he had made to his father Y'honatan (First Samuel 20:41-42). Mephiboseth knew that Ziba had slandered him (see Dr - David and Ziba), so he had an opportunity to speak to David personally. So he went down to meet the king, presumably at the Jordan River. It would have been difficult for the crippled prince to travel the twenty miles or so from Tziyon, but he did it anyway. He had obvious signs of mourning. He had not taken care of his feet or trimmed his mustache or washed his clothes from the day the king left until the day he returned safely, sharing in David's exile. But because of what Ziba had said earlier, David asked Mephibosheth, "Why didn't you go with me when I was forced to flee from Jerusalem?

Mephibosheth was eloquent and persuasive in his response: My lord and king, since I your servant am lame, I said, 'I will have my donkey saddled and will ride on it, so I can go with the king.' But Ziba my servant left without me and betrayed me, and he has slandered your servant to my lord the king. Nevertheless, Mephibosheth submitted himself to David's decision: My lord the king is like an angel of God; so do whatever you wish. He was very grateful. All my grandfather's descendants deserved nothing but death from my lord the king, but you gave your servant a place among those who eat at your table. So what right do I have to make any more appeals to the king (Second Samuel 19:24-28)?

The king was impatient and said to him, "Why say more? He was still not convinced that **Mephibosheth** was telling the truth, but would not investigate the matter any further. So **he** declared: I order you and Ziba to divide the land. As David's son Solomon would later threaten to divide a living baby in order to discern which of the two mothers was telling the truth (First Kings 3:24-25), here, David demands the division of the land in order to discern whether
Mephibosheth or Ziba was the liar. And just as the real mother of the living baby offered her child to the liar in order to preserve its life, so also Mephibosheth offered the entire estate to Ziba, saying: Let him take everything, now that my lord the king has returned home safely (Second Samuel 19:29-30).⁴⁵⁵ If David acted with clemency in Shim'i's case, he acted with expediency in Mephibosheth's case. Pragmatism rather than justice prevailed.⁴⁵⁶ The one who came out of the incident unscathed was the crippled Mephibosheth, who raised above the financial considerations and took genuine pleasure in the return of his lord and king safely.⁴⁵⁷

B. Barzillai: The third and last of the three representatives to meet **David** at **the** eastern side of the **Jordan** River was **Barzillai the Gileadite from Rogelim**. The three encounters form a progressive series on the scale of loyalty: first **Shim'i**, who had heaped insults on **David** and then pleaded for forgiveness; then **Mephibosheth**, whose loyalty, though probably genuine, had been called into question by **Ziba**; and then the unswervingly devoted old man **Barzillai.**⁴⁵⁸ **He came to cross the Jordan with the king and to send him on his way from there.** The tradition of that day was that a departing guest should be escorted on the first leg of **his** journey. **Now Barzillai was very old, eighty years of age. He had provided for the king during his stay in Mahanaim, for he was a very wealthy man. The king said to Barzillai, "Cross over with me and stay with me in Jerusalem, be a member of my royal court, and I will provide for you" (Second Samuel 19:31-33).**

But Barzillai answered the king, "How many more years will I live, that I should go up to Jerusalem with the king? I am now eighty years old. Can I tell the difference between what is enjoyable and what is not? Can your servant taste what he eats and drinks? Can I still hear the voices of male and female singers? Why should your servant be an added burden to my lord the king? Your servant will cross over the Jordan with the king for a short distance, but why should the king reward me in this way? Let your servant return, that I may die in my own town near the tomb of my father and mother (Second Samuel 19:34-37a). That's all Barzillai asked. That is enough.

However, **Barzillai** was willing to let **his son Khimham** take **his** place: **Here is your servant Kimham**. For **David's** general strategy of recovery, **Khimham** is as valuable



as **Barzillai**, because either will embody the same assertion of power and support. **Khimham** returned to **Yerhsuahalyim** with **David** as a sign of a powerful political force firmly allied with **David**. It was little wonder that at the end of **his** life, **David** remembered **Barzillai** with graciousness and gratitude (**1 Kings 2:7**).⁴⁵⁹ Let him cross over with my lord the king. Do for him whatever you wish. The king said: Khimham shall cross over with me, and I will do for him whatever you wish. And anything you desire from me I will do for you. So all the people crossed the Jordan, and then the king crossed over. The king kissed Barzillai and bid him farewell, and Barzillai returned to his home (Second Samuel 19:37b-39). But David's troubles weren't over yet, for the long-simmering feud between Isra'el and Judah would surface again and almost start another civil war.

A. Epilogue - Y'hudah or Yisra'el: David had been promised the throne by YHVH and had received it. It was, however, a fragile crown. The representatives of the tribes were gathered at **Gilgal** to escort **the king** back to **Yerushalayim**. But instead of rejoicing they fought among themselves. When the king crossed over to Gilgal, Khimham crossed with him. All the troops of Y'hudah and half the troops of Yisra'el had taken the king over. Soon all the men of Yisra'el were coming to the king and saying to him, "Why did our brothers, the men of Y'hudah, steal the king away and bring him and his household across the Jordan, together with all his men?" The men of Isra'el represented the **ten** northern **tribes**, and **they** were angry with the southern tribe of **Judah**, which had also absorbed the tribe of Simeon. Tempers rose when the men of Isra'el became angry because **they** felt left out at the gathering at **Gilgal**. They didn't think that Judah had waited for them to arrive on the scene to help take David home. David's preference for his own tribe caused a division that would lead to a second revolt (see Ed -Sheba Rebels Against David). All the men of Y'hudah answered the men of Yisra'el, "We did this because the king is closely related to us. They felt they had a greater responsibility to care for him. Why are you angry about it? He did not bribe us! Have we eaten any of the king's provisions? Have we taken anything for ourselves" (Second Samuel 19:40-42)?

Then the men of Yisra'el answered the men of Y'hudah, "We have ten shares in the king (because of the ten tribes) and you have only two, as if the king were some kind of security on the stock market. So we have a greater claim on David than you have. Why then do you treat us with contempt? Weren't we the first to speak of bringing back our king?" Yes they were. But the men of Y'hudah pressed their claims even more forcefully than the men of Yisra'el (Second Samuel 19:43). The men of Yisra'el had the better case, but the men of Y'hudah merely shouted them down. Apparently nobody



thought of calling on **ADONAI** for **His** help to remember the importance of **Gilgal** (see **Shim'i** above).

The conflict between **Judah** and **Isra'el** had deep roots, just like the political conflicts that divide many nations today. When King Sha'ul assembled his first army, it was divided between **Isra'el** and **Judah (First Samuel 11:8)**, and this division continued throughout his reign (First Samuel 15:4, 17:52, 18:16). After the death of Sha'ul, the ten tribes of **Isra'el** followed Sha'ul's son Ish-Bosheth (see Cg - War Between the Houses of David and Sha'ul), while Judah followed David (Second Samuel 2:10-11). Judah, of course, was obeying the will of God, for Ha'Shem had named David as the nation's next king (see <u>Ah</u> - Samuel Anoints David). This tribal rivalry existed to David's day. When Rehoboam became king after the death of Solomon, his father, the rift widened, and the Kingdom divided into the northern kingdom of Isra'el and the southern kingdom of Judah (Firsts Kings 12).⁴⁶⁰